BEST COPY
AVAILABLE

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 274 1997

Bill H 556 S _____ Original bill & history ✓ C

H. Committee on Local Govt		S. Committee on Local Govt	
Hearing Date(s) Feb 18	✓ C	Hearing Date(s) Mar 27	✓ C
_____	____C	_____	____C
_____	____C	_____	____C
_____	____C	_____	____C
Date Out _____	____C		

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? ____Yes ____No

Committee _____ Report _____

1997 LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

The 1997 Montana Legislature has dramatically changed the nature of the legislative minutes. Specifically, the minutes from House committees are extremely sparse in content, offering no substantive information from which to glean legislative intent. The Senate committees' minutes are somewhat fuller in content. Exhibits available from the State Law Library (which may include written statements, attendant information, roll call votes, roll call attendance, a list of public members present, specific action taken on legislative bills, etc.) are included with this request. For information on other exhibits, contact the Montana Historical Society Archives at 444-4774. Exhibits may also be purchased on a CD-ROM from the Montana Legislative Council (444-3064).

Just as in the 1995 legislative session, the House and Senate hearings were recorded. The Historical Society is the depository for the tapes. For information on accessing the tapes, call the archives at 444-4774.

If you have concerns over the format of the 1997 legislative minutes, it is suggested that you contact your state legislators.

	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
3/12	FIRST READING		
3/12	REFERRED TO TAXATION		
3/20	HEARING		
4/10	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED		
4/15	2ND READING CONCURRED	45	4
4/16	3RD READING CONCURRED	43	7
	RETURNED TO HOUSE		
4/20	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
4/25	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
4/25	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
5/01	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 510		
	EFFECTIVE DATE: 10/01/97		

HB 556 INTRODUCED BY GRADY, ET AL. *LC0011 DRAFTER: WILLIAMS*

REVISE THE MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR JOINT OR CONSOLIDATED CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARDS

2/14	INTRODUCED		
2/14	FIRST READING		
2/14	REFERRED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT		
2/14	FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED		
2/15	FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED		
2/15	FISCAL NOTE PRINTED		
2/18	HEARING		
2/19	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL PASSED		
2/21	2ND READING PASSED	95	2
2/26	3RD READING PASSED	95	3
	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
3/04	FIRST READING		
3/04	REFERRED TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT		
3/27	HEARING		
4/02	COMMITTEE REPORT—BILL CONCURRED		
4/05	2ND READING CONCURRED	50	0
4/07	3RD READING CONCURRED	49	0
	RETURNED TO HOUSE		
4/09	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
4/11	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
4/14	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
4/16	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 274		
	EFFECTIVE DATE: 07/01/97		

HB 557 INTRODUCED BY SMITH, ET AL. *LC1358 DRAFTER: BOHYER*

REQUIRE THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING, ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNOR, TO COMPILE, ANALYZE, AND MAKE AVAILABLE CERTAIN INFORMATION RELATING TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS OF GOVERNMENT

2/14	INTRODUCED
2/14	FIRST READING
2/14	REFERRED TO APPROPRIATIONS
2/14	FISCAL NOTE REQUESTED
2/17	FISCAL NOTE RECEIVED
2/17	FISCAL NOTE PRINTED
3/10	HEARING
3/11	TABLED IN COMMITTEE
3/28	MISSED DEADLINE FOR 67TH DAY TRANSMITTAL
	DIED IN COMMITTEE

House BILL NO. 556

INTRODUCED BY Grady, Stang, Toland, Mesaros, Olson, Gutsche, Hibbard

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT REVISING THE MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS FOR JOINT OR CONSOLIDATED CITY-COUNTY PLANNING BOARDS; AMENDING SECTION 76-1-112, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE AND A RETROACTIVE APPLICABILITY DATE."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 76-1-112, MCA, is amended to read:

"**76-1-112. Joint or consolidated planning boards.** (1) Any existing city, county, or city-county planning board may form a joint or consolidated planning board with any other existing city, county, or city-county planning board or with any combination of these boards.

(2) The manner of combination ~~shall~~ must be by interlocal agreement of the cities, counties, and towns represented on the existing planning boards pursuant to Title 7, chapter 11, part 1.

(3) The interlocal agreement ~~shall~~ must:

(a) state the name of the combined board;

(b) specify whether a joint or combined board is formed;

(c) specify the representation, means and manner of appointment, membership duties, and manner of sharing costs of the combined board which may, subject to subsection (6), be on any basis agreeable to the governing bodies of the cities, counties, and towns represented on the existing planning boards.

(4) If a consolidated board is formed, the existing city, county, and city-county planning boards ~~shall~~ must be dissolved and the consolidated board ~~shall have all of~~ has the rights, duties, powers, and obligations of the existing planning boards.

(5) If a joint board is formed, the existing planning boards ~~shall~~ may not be dissolved and the joint board ~~shall have such~~ has the rights, duties, powers, and obligations ~~as~~ that are ~~set forth~~ contained in the interlocal agreement.

(6) Membership of any city-county board formed pursuant to this section must have representation consistent with the requirements of part 2 of this chapter."

NEW SECTION. **Section 2. Retroactive applicability.** [This act] applies retroactively, within the meaning of 1-2-109, to all joint or consolidated city-county planning boards created after July 1, 1985, which are still in existence. [This act] does not affect any action taken by a joint or consolidated planning board prior to the effective date of [this act].

NEW SECTION. **Section 3. Effective date.** [This act] is effective July 1, 1997.

-END-

STATE OF MONTANA - FISCAL NOTE

Fiscal Note for HB0556, as introduced

SCRIPTION OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:
bill for an act revising the membership requirements for joint or consolidated city-unty planning boards.

SSUMPTIONS:
partment of Commerce/Local Government Assistance Division/Community Development:
There would be no fiscal impact to the state as a result of passage of HB 556.

FECT ON COUNTY OR OTHER LOCAL REVENUES OR EXPENDITURES:
ere would be no fiscal impact to local governments as a result of passage of HB 556.

EWIS, BUDGET DIRECTOR DATE
of Budget and Program Planning

ED GRADY, PRIMARY SPONSOR DATE

Fiscal Note for HB0556, as introduced

HB 556

Merle Gamrod, Bozeman Finance Director *{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 32.5; Comments: guidelines for small communities when developing a budget.}*

Nathan Tubergen, Director of Finance and Administrative Services, Billings

Scott Turner, Finance Director, Yellowstone County **Exhibit** 1-letter *{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 33.9-35; Comments: alternative accounting adopted; important for flexibility, as amended.}*

Tim Magee, Great Falls Budget Director *{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 35.4-37.8; Comments: discussed variations in the law; this bill attempts one clear path on how to do budgeting.}*

Tim Burton, Chief Administrative Officer, Lewis and Clark County *{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 37.8-38.3; Comments: streamlines budget process in Title 7.}*

Opponents: None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses: *{Tape: 1; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 38.7-Tape: 1; Side: B-2.8; Comments: Devaney-capital improvement projects on-going, gives city more latitude especially with large capital expenses.}*

Closing by Sponsor: Rep. Devaney closed *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 1.7-2.8; Comments: would reduce 92 pages of code to 7 or 8 pages; retains public input and participation.}*

HEARING ON HB 556

Sponsor: Rep. Ed Grady, HD 55 **EXHIBIT** 2

Proponents: Gayla Wortman-Hall, Lewis and Clark Conservation District *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 4.8-6.0; Comments: Conservation District Supervisor would take their place on the city-county planning boards; problem with surge of growth.}*

Jim Elliot, Supervisor Lewis and Clark Conservation District *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 6.3; Comments: saves time to have a member from the conservation district on the board.}*

Mike Volesky, Montana Association of Conservation Districts *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 7.4; Comments: no provision in the law for membership presently.}*

Tim Burton, Lewis and Clark County Commission *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 8.8; Comments: advisory group on subdivision and platting needed.}*

Opponents: None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses: *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 11.1-12.}*

Closing by Sponsor: Rep. Grady closed.

HEARING ON HB 463

Sponsor: Rep. Peggy Arnott, HD 20 *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 15.0-16.3; Comments: qualifications of County Auditor; grants special audits, recovery issue.}*

Proponents: Leo Hudetz, Yellowstone County Auditor *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 16.5-22.3; Comments: independence of auditor issue; significance of expertise.}*

Susan Reed, Missoula County Auditor *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 22.4-27.3; Comments: provides safety, minimize potential for fraud; audits reduce costs.}*

Joyce Schmidt, Gallatin County **EXHIBIT** 3-(13 pages) *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 27.6-30.6; Comments: CPA requirements.}*

Tom Harrison, Montana Society of Certified Public Accountants

Opponents: Gordon Morris, MACO **EXHIBIT** 4-County Auditor list *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 32.7-37.6; Comments: auditors nothing but low level clerics, do nothing other than audit for compliance of claims; county must conduct 2 year audits by law; creates a new position; they don't save the county money, it is the external auditor who does.}*

Tim Burton, Lewis and Clark County *{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 37.8; Comments: disagree with change in 2 year residency; qualifications-let voters decide; Lewis and Clark County contracts for auditor services; need independent audits.}*

Bob Lindgren, Lewis and Clark County Auditor *{Tape: 2; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 1.6-3.4; Comments: oppose.}*

Robert Throssell, Montana Association of Clerk and Recorders *{Tape: 2; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 3.5; Comments: would add additional requirements.}*

Questions From Committee Members and Responses: *{Tape: 2; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 4.0-25.6; Comments: Rep. Ellingson to Susan Reed-examples of saving money in Missoula County; Prouse to Lindgren objections-CPA narrow scope on numbers; Arnott-combination of Clerk and Recorders may be a problem; Prouse to*

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 461

Motion/Vote: Rep. Bergman moved DO PASS HB 461. The question was called. The motion PASSED 13-7.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 556

Motion: Rep. Bergman moved DO PASS HB 556.

Discussion: *{Tape: 3; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 24-25.7; Comments: Ahner-no provision in statute.}*

Motion/Vote: The question was called. The motion PASSED unanimously on a voice vote.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 518

Amendments: Rep. Hagener moved the amendments. Rep. Ewer moved to amend the amendments. Bart Campbell explained the conceptual amendment. The question was called on the amendments with the conceptual added. The motion PASSED unanimously.

Motion: Rep. Devaney moved the bill as amended. The question was called. The motion PASSED 18-2 with Reps. Prouse and Smith voting no.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 463

Amendments: Rep. Ahner moved the amendments.

Motion: Rep. Brainard moved DO PASS.

Discussion: *{Tape: 3; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 38.3-40.6; Comments: Dowell-doesn't like grandfathering, opposes amendment; Brainard-clause used acknowledges length of service.}*

Motion/Vote: Rep. Ewer moved to amend the amendment. *{Tape: 3; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 1.9-5.3; Comments: Prouse-elected by the people-qualifications different.}*

Vote: The question was called on the amended amendment. The motion PASSED 16-4

Discussion: *{Tape: 3; Side: A; Approx. Time Count: 5.3; Comments: Bergman-changing qualifications of other elected officials possible.}*

Motion/Vote: Rep. Bergman moved to TABLE HB 463. The motion PASSED 15-5.

TITLE 76
LAND RESOURCES AND USE
CHAPTER 1
PLANNING BOARDS

Part 2 -- Membership

76-1-201. Membership of city-county planning board.
76-1-202. Qualifications of citizen members of city-county planning board.
76-1-203. Term of members of county and city-county planning boards.
76-1-204. Vacancies on county and city-county planning boards.
76-1-205 through 76-1-210 reserved.
76-1-211. Membership of county planning board.
76-1-212. Citizen members of county planning board.
76-1-213 through 76-1-220 reserved.
76-1-221. Membership of city planning board.
76-1-222. City council member of city planning board.
76-1-223. County representative for city planning board.
76-1-224. Citizen members of city planning board.

Part 2

Membership

76-1-201. Membership of city-county planning board. (1) Except as provided in subsection (2), a city-county planning board shall consist of not less than nine members to be appointed as follows:

(a) two official members who reside outside the city limits but within the jurisdictional area of the city-county planning board to be appointed by the board of county commissioners, who may in the discretion of the board of county commissioners be employed by or hold public office in the county;

(b) two official members who reside within the city limits to be appointed by the city council, who may in the discretion of the city council be employed by or hold public office in the city;

(c) two citizen members who reside within the city limits to be appointed by the mayor of the city;

(d) two citizen members who reside within the jurisdictional area of the city-county planning board to be appointed by the board of county commissioners;

(e) the ninth member to be selected by the eight officers and citizen members hereinabove provided for from the members of the board of supervisors of a conservation district provided for in 76-15-311.

(2) Subsection (1)(e) does not apply if there is no member of the board of supervisors of a conservation district who is able or willing to serve on the

DATE 2-18
HB 556

city-county planning board. In such case, the ninth member of the city-county planning board shall be selected by the eight officers and citizen members hereinabove provided for with the consent and approval of the board of county commissioners and the city council.

History: En. Sec. 10, Ch. 246, L. 1957; amd. Sec. 4, Ch. 247, L. 1963; amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 189, L. 1965; amd. Sec. 3, Ch. 273, L. 1971; amd. Sec. 2, Ch. 349, L. 1973; R.C.M. 1947, 11-3810(part); amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 192, L. 1979; amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 509, L. 1985.

76-1-202. Qualifications of citizen members of city-county planning board. (1) The citizen members of the city-county planning board shall be resident freeholders in the area over which the planning board has jurisdiction; provided, however, that at least two of such members shall be resident freeholders in the area, if any, outside the city limits over which the planning board has jurisdiction and the two members appointed by the county commissioners shall reside outside the city limits but within the jurisdictional area of the planning board.

(2) Any citizen appointee may be removed from office by a majority vote of the governing body of the governmental unit represented by such appointee.

History: (1)Ap. p. Sec. 12, Ch. 246, L. 1957; amd. Sec. 2, Ch. 271, L. 1959; amd. Sec. 5, Ch. 273, L. 1971; Sec. 11-3812, R.C.M. 1947; Ap. p. Sec. 10, Ch. 246, L. 1957; amd. Sec. 4, Ch. 247, L. 1963; amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 189, L. 1965; amd. Sec. 3, Ch. 273, L. 1971; amd. Sec. 2, Ch. 349, L. 1973; Sec. 11-3810, R.C.M. 1947; (2)En. Sec. 13, Ch. 246, L. 1957; amd. Sec. 5, Ch. 247, L. 1963; Sec. 11-3813, R.C.M. 1947; R.C.M. 1947, 11-3810(part), 11-3812(part), 11-3813.

76-1-203. Term of members of county and city-county planning boards. The terms of the members who are officers of any governmental unit represented on the board shall be coextensive with their respective terms of office to which they have been elected or appointed; the terms of the other members shall be 2 years, except that the terms of the first members appointed shall be fixed by agreement and rule of the governing bodies represented on the board for 1 or 2 years in order that a minimum number of terms shall expire in any year.

History: En. Sec. 10, Ch. 246, L. 1957; amd. Sec. 4, Ch. 247, L. 1963; amd. Sec. 1, Ch. 189, L. 1965; amd. Sec. 3, Ch. 273, L. 1971; amd. Sec. 2, Ch. 349, L. 1973; R.C.M. 1947, 11-3810(3).

76-1-204. Vacancies on county and city-county planning boards. (1) Vacancies occurring on the board of official members and by death or resignation of citizen members shall be filled for the unexpired term by the governing bodies having appointed them.

(2) Vacancies occurring in citizen members on the county planning board at the end of a term shall be filled by the board of county commissioners.

(3) Vacancies occurring in citizen members on the city-county planning board at the end of a term shall be filled alternately by the mayor and the board of county commissioners represented on the board, commencing with the mayor.

(4) In the event more than one city is represented on a board, the representation and appointments to be made by the respective cities and counties shall be by agreement and rule of the board.

HOUSE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

February 19, 1997

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on **Local Government** report that **House Bill 556** (first reading copy -- white) **do pass.**

Signed: Norm Mills
Norm Mills, Chair

2-19-97

Committee Vote:
Yes 20, No 0.

390931SC.Hgd

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL DATE 2-18-97

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Rep. Norm Mills, Chairman			
Rep. Chris Ahner			
Rep. David Ewer			
Rep. Ellen Bergman			
Rep. Sylvia Bookout-Reinicke			
Rep. Matt Brainard			
Rep. Bill Carey			
Rep. Matt Denny			
Rep. Charles R. Devaney			
Rep. Tim Dowell			
Rep. Jon Ellingson			
Rep. Antoinette R. Hagener			
Rep. Sam Kitzenberg			X
Rep. Deb Kottel			
Rep. Bob Lawson			
Rep. Linda McCulloch			
Rep. Daniel W. McGee			
Rep. Wes Prouse			
Rep. Liz Smith			
Rep. Loren L. Soft			

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
VISITORS REGISTER

House Local Government [redacted] COMMITTEE DATE 2-18-97

BILL NO. 556 SPONSOR(S) _____

PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT PLEASE PRINT

NAME AND ADDRESS	REPRESENTING	Support	Oppose
Gayla Hall	Lewis & Clark Conservation Dist	X	
James E Elliott	" " " " "	X	
Mike Volesky	MT Assoc of Cons. Dists.	X	

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED TESTIMONY WITH SECRETARY. WITNESS STATEMENT FORMS ARE AVAILABLE IF YOU CARE TO SUBMIT WRITTEN TESTIMONY.

districts, county suppression in unincorporated areas, and private fire companies.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. EWER closed on HB 518.

HEARING ON HB 556

Sponsor: **REP. ED GRADY, HD 55, CANYON CREEK**

Proponents:

Gayla Hall, Lewis and Clark Conservation District
Mike Volesky, Montana Assoc. of Conservation Districts

Opponents: None

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. ED GRADY, HD 55, CANYON CREEK, presented HB 556. He said this bill revises the membership for joint or consolidated city county planning boards. He said currently there is a law providing for a conservation district membership, but if a joint or consolidated planning board is formed by inner or local government agreement, conservation districts are excluded from membership. Conservation districts can contribute and provide a great deal of information for land management planning concerning grazing, weed control, streams and other areas.

Proponents' Testimony:

Gayla Hall, Lewis and Clark Conservation District spoke in favor of HB 556 **(EXHIBIT 3).**

Mike Volesky, MT Assoc. of Conservation Districts, spoke in favor of HB 556. He said this situation only exists in the Lewis and Clark Conservation District, but has the potential of existing in other districts.

Opponents' Testimony: None

Questions From Committee Members and Responses:

SENATOR ECK asked what the membership of these boards will be. **Mike Volesky** read the current statutes on the membership of the county planning boards and how they are appointed.

Closing by Sponsor:

REP. GRADY said this was overlooked in the original statutes and is a clean up measure.

{Tape: 1; Side: B; Approx. Time Count: 4:04 p.m.; Comments: .}

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 556

Motion/Vote:

SENATOR ESTRADA MOVED HB 556 BE CONCURRED IN. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

EXECUTIVE ACTION ON HB 518

Discussion:

SENATOR ECK asked what the intent of the amendment was **(EXHIBIT 2). SENATOR SPRAGUE** used the example of a local government requiring a private business to keep records. The local government describes the form and the content of that record, but they cannot tell them what kind of method to use to keep those records.

SENATOR ECK asked if a business could send their information in on a yellow pad? **SENATOR SPRAGUE** said that was correct.

Motion/Vote:

SENATOR BILL GLASER MOVED TO ADOPT THE AMENDMENTS (EXHIBIT 2). MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Motion/Vote:

SENATOR GLASER MOVED HB 518 BE CONCURRED IN AS AMENDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

HEARING ON HB 531

Sponsor: **REP. EMILY SWANSON, HD 30, Bozeman**

Proponents:

Jan Sensibaugh, Department of Environmental Quality
Gordon Morris, MACo
Bob Gilbert, MT Tow Truck Assoc.
Richard Corrigan, Missoula Co. Junk Vehicle Program

Opponents: None

Opening Statement by Sponsor:

REP. EMILY SWANSON, HD 30, Bozeman, presented HB 531. This bill is to improve the administration of the junk vehicle program. The junk vehicle program has been in place since 1973. Its purpose is to dispose of junk vehicles which are vehicles that have no salvage value. The funding mechanism is the county charges fifty cents on each yearly license renewal. A $1.50 is collected on any title transfers and is given to this program. The scrap metal that is sold from these vehicles is also retained. All of this money is sent to the state and then redistributed to each county

Ex 3

SENATE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
EXHIBIT NO. 3
DATE 3-27-97
BILL NO. HB 556

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, for the record, my name is Gayla Wortman Hall. I am the administrator of the Lewis & Clark Conservation District.

On behalf of the Board of Supervisors, and the people they represent, I would like to go on record as a proponent of HB 556.

Under current state law, planning boards may form as a joint or consolidated board through the use of an interlocal agreement. However, the law does not specifically address conservation district membership as outlined in Part 2 of MCA 76-1-201.

HB 556 merely cleans up this oversight and amends the law to provide for district representation, on a joint or consolidated board formed by interlocal agreement. Many of Montana's 58 conservation districts have supervisors that serve on their local planning boards and enjoy productive, worthwhile working relationships.

I urge you to pass this bill so that conservation district supervisors can take their place on city-county planning boards, formed under any circumstance, work in partnership with their planning boards and contribute their knowledge of natural resources as planning boards meet the challenges introduced by the surge of growth in Montana.

Thank you.

MONTANA SENATE
1997 LEGISLATURE
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ROLL CALL DATE 3-27-97

NAME	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
DOROTHY ECK	X		
SHARON ESTRADA	X		
BILL GLASER	X		
DON HARGROVE	X		
J. D. LYNCH	X		
WALT MC NUTT	X		
FRED VAN VALKENBURG	X		
MIKE SPRAGUE, VICE CHAIRMAN	X		
TOM BECK, CHAIRMAN	X		

SEN:1997
wp.rollcall.man
CS-09

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

March 28, 1997

MR. PRESIDENT:

We, your committee on Local Government having had under consideration House Bill 556 (third reading copy -- blue), respectfully report that House Bill 556 be concurred in.

Signed: Sen Tom Beck
Senator Tom Beck, Chair

Amd. Coord.
Sec. of Senate

Senator Beck
Senator Carrying Bill

681014SC.STS

DATE 3-27-97

SENATE COMMITTEE ON Local Govt

BILLS BEING HEARD TODAY: HB 556

< ■ > PLEASE PRINT < ■ >

Name	Representing	Bill No.	Check One: Support	Oppose
Gayla L Hall	Lewis & Clark Cnty Dist	HB556	✓	
Mike Volesky	MT Assoc. of Conservation Dists.	"	✓	
Dick Brick	HUD			

VISITOR REGISTER

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH COMMITTEE SECRETARY

REGISTER.F10